arXiv:1511.06169v3 [cond-mat.supr-con] 16 Sep 2016

Dominant Spin-Triplet Superconductivity Revealed by the Upper-Critical-Field Measurements in $K_2Cr_3As_3$

Huakun Zuo[1,#], Jin-Ke Bao[2,#], Yi Liu[2], Jinhua Wang[1], Zhao Jin[1], Zhengcai Xia[1], Liang Li[1], Zhuan Xu[2,3], Jian Kang[4], Zengwei Zhu[1,*] and Guang-Han Cao[2,3*]

1. Wuhan National High Magnetic Field Center, School of Physics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China

2. Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

3. Collaborative Innovation Centre of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing 210093, China

4. School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

(Dated: September 19, 2016)

The upper critical magnetic field H_{c2} of a superconductor measures the strength of superconductivity in response to external magnetic fields, which gives clues to the superconducting Cooper pairing. In this paper, we report measurements of H_{c2} as functions of temperature T, the applied magnetic field direction both in polar angle θ and azimuthal angle ϕ, for a newly discovered superconductor $K_2Cr_3As_3$ with a quasi-one-dimensional and non-centrosymmetric crystal structure. We demonstrate that the anisotropy reversal in $H_{c2}(T)$ reported previously comes from a fully anisotropic Pauli-limiting effect in which there is no paramagnetic pair breaking for $\mathbf{H}\perp c$, leading to the anisotropic reversal. The out-of-plane $H_{c2}(\theta)$ shows a rare nonmonotonous feature with tilted angle at low temperature. These two abnormal features can be naturally framed in the spin-triplet odd-parity Cooper pairs scenario and the $H_{c2}(\theta)$ can be perfectly fitting under this scenario. Additionally, the $H_{c2}(\theta)$ data reveal an intrinsic uniaxially effective-mass anisotropy ($m_\perp/m_\parallel \sim 2.0$) down to low temperatures. The extrapolated orbitally limited fields for $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$ and $\mathbf{H}\perp c$ at zero temperature exceed the Pauli limit by a factor of 3.4 and 4.6 respectively, far beyond the scope of singlet scenario. More interestingly, the in-plane $H_{c2}(\phi)$ profile shows a weak yet unique threefold modulation, which breaks the time-reversal symmetry, further supporting the spin-triplet scenario. The above $H_{c2}(\theta, \phi, T)$ behaviors cannot be understood unless a dominant spin-triplet superconductivity with odd parity is present in $K_2Cr_3As_3$.

Unconventional superconductors are those materials that possess exotic superconductivity (SC) whose origin cannot be explained by electron-phonon interactions within the framework of Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) theory[1]. A more operable description for unconventional superconductors addresses an additional symmetry broken[2], apart from the $U(1)$-gauge symmetry and crystalline-lattice symmetry. Examples of unconventional superconductors include, chronologically, $CeCu_2Si_2$[3], organic superconductors[4], UPt_3[5], high-T_c cuprates[6], Sr_2RuO_4[7], UGe_2[8] and iron-based superconductors[9]. Those novel superconductors bring rich interesting physics and challenge our understanding of SC[10].

Recently, SC was discovered in a Cr-based family $A_2Cr_3As_3$ (A=K[11], Rb[12] and Cs[13]). The new materials possess a quasi-one-dimensional (quasi-1D) crystal structure characterized by infinite $[(Cr_3As_3)^{2-}]_\infty$ linear chains, called double-walled subnanotubes, which are separated by alkali-metal cations. The point group is D_{3h}, hence there is no inversion center for the crystal structure. The superconducting transition temperature T_c is 6.1 K, 4.8 K and 2.2 K, respectively, for A=K, Rb and Cs. Unconventional SC in $K_2Cr_3As_3$ or $Rb_2Cr_3As_3$ has been supported by accumulating experimental and theoretical results as follows. (1) The Sommerfeld specific-heat coefficient is nearly 4 times of the value from the first-principles calculation[14, 15], indicating significant electron correlations in $K_2Cr_3As_3$. (2) $K_2Cr_3As_3$ shows a large upper critical field H_{c2}, which exceeds the BCS weak-coupling Pauli limit field[16, 17], H_{c2}^P=18.4T_c $\approx$ 110 kOe, by 3−4 times[11, 18, 19]. (3) The ^{75}As nuclear quadrapole resonance (NQR) shows strong enhancement of Cr-spin fluctuations above T_c and, there is no Hebel-Slichter coherence peak in the temperature dependence of nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate just below T_c for $K_2Cr_3As_3$[20]. Similar result is given by the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) for $Rb_2Cr_3As_3$, from which *ferromagnetic* spin fluctuations are additionally evidenced[21], supporting a spin-triplet Cooper pairing. The latter seems to be consistent with the observation of a spontaneous internal magnetic field near T_c, although being very weak, in the muon spin relaxation or rotation (μSR) experiment[22]. (4) Penetration-depth measurements indicate existence of line nodes in the superconducting gap[23]. (5) Band-structure calculations show that Cr-3d orbitals dominate the electronic states at the Fermi level (E_F) and, the consequent Fermi-surface sheets (FSs) consist of a three-dimensional (3D) FS in addition to two quasi-1D FS[14, 15, 24]. Ferromagnetic and/or frustrated spin fluctuations are suggested by the calculations. (6) Theoretical models[25–27] are established based on the molecular orbitals, from which spin-triplet SC is stabilized. (7) The T_c is insensitive to the impurities, pointing to s-wave pairing at first glance. Actually, the clean limit is easily achieved because of its large upper critical field and long mean-free-path[28]. By using

impure Cr with various non-magnetic impurities, we found that the T_c indeed decreases significantly following the generalized Abrikosov-Gor'kov pair-breaking model, suggesting a non-s-wave superconductivity pairing[28].

Nevertheless, there are a few points that seem to support conventional SC in $K_2Cr_3As_3$. For example, the calculated electron-phonon coupling is large enough to account for the experimentally observed large mass enhancement[29]. In addition, the preliminary observation of insensitivity of T_c on impurity scattering is argued to oppose a triplet SC[18, 19]. Particularly, the observation of the paramagnetically limited behavior of $H_{c2}^{\parallel}(T)$ is considered to be inconsistent with triplet superconductivity, and a form of singlet superconductivity with the electron spins locked onto the direction of Cr chains is proposed[19].

As is known, the H_{c2} behavior of a type-II superconductor may be an indicator for unconventional SC[30, 31]. The temperature and angular dependencies of H_{c2} reflect the mechanisms of Cooper-pair breaking due to an orbital and/or Zeeman effect. The temperature-dependent $H_{c2}(T)$ data of $K_2Cr_3As_3$ have been measured for different samples[11, 18, 19, 32]. Measurements using single crystals revealed a large initial slope, $-(\mathrm{d}H_{c2}/\mathrm{d}T)|_{T_c}$, of 120 kOe/K[18] or 161 kOe/K[32], for field parallel to the crystallographic c axis ($\mathbf{H} \parallel c$). The $H_{c2}^{\parallel}(T)$ exhibits a strong negative curvature, and it saturates at about 230 kOe, indicating a Pauli-limiting scenario with significant spin-orbit coupling[19]. On the other hand, the $H_{c2}^{\perp}(T)$ data show an orbitally limited behavior with no signs of paramagnetic pair breaking. Consequently, $H_{c2}^{\perp}(T)$ and $H_{c2}^{\parallel}(T)$ cross at $T \approx 4$ K[19].

To further understand the different behaviors of $H_{c2}^{\parallel}(T)$ and $H_{c2}^{\perp}(T)$, we measured the H_{c2} *in situ* as functions of *the polar angle* θ (the angle relative to the c axis) as well as temperature T for $K_2Cr_3As_3$ crystals. We also performed *the azimuthal angle* ϕ (the angle relative to the $[2\bar{1}\bar{1}0]$ direction in the basal plane) dependence of H_{c2}. The $H_{c2}(\theta)$ data demonstrate that the apparent "anisotropy reversal" phenomenon[19] is due to the paramagnetically pair-breaking effect *only for the field component parallel to the c axis*. Remarkably, the $H_{c2}(\theta)$, which shows nonmonotonous evolution with angle, can be perfectly fitted with a formula based on the assumption of triplet Cooper pairing. Interestingly, the $H_{c2}(\phi)$ curve shows a unique threefold modulation. The results point to a dominant spin-triplet superconducting state.

Rod-shape crystals of $K_2Cr_3As_3$, grown by a flux method[11], were employed for the magnetoresistance measurements (see details in METHOD). The crystallographic c axis is always parallel to the crystal rod because of the crystallization habit. The electric current was along the rod direction, and the direction of the applied magnetic field has a polar angle θ and an azimuthal angle ϕ with respect to the c axis and the $[10\bar{1}0]$ crystallographic direction, respectively. At zero field, the sample shows a sharp superconducting transition at T_c=6.2 K, as shown in Fig. S1 of the Supplementary Materials (SM). The residual resistance ratio (RRR), i. e. a ratio of the resistivity at room temperature and at the temperature just above T_c, is about 60, indicating high-quality of the sample. Note that a small residual resistance is left below T_c. This is probably due to the chemical reaction between sample and the silver-paste electrodes, which forms a non-superconducting KCr_3As_3 phase[33]. Nevertheless, the partial deterioration of the sample does not affect the H_{c2} determination because the T_c^{onset} value is not altered, compared with the previous reports[11, 18, 19, 32].

We employed magnetoresistance measurements to determine the H_{c2}. The H_{c2} value is defined by the crossing point of the normal and superconducting states, as shown in Figs. 1a and 1b. The resulting H_{c2} data are plotted in Fig. 1c. Overall, the obtained $H_{c2} - T$ phase diagram is similar to the previous profile[19], featured by the crossing of $H_{c2}^{\perp}(T)$ and $H_{c2}^{\parallel}(T)$. Such a reversal of anisotropy in H_{c2}, although being uncommon, was reported in several other systems including the quasi-1D organic superconductor $(TMTSF)_2PF_6$[34], the heavy-fermion superconductor UPt_3[35], and some of the iron-based superconductors[36–38]. The origin of the anisotropy reversal differs for different systems. For the iron-based superconductors, which are believed to have multi bands with spin-singlet Cooper pairs, Pauli-limiting effect with spin-orbit coupling plays the dominant role for the phenomenon[37, 39]. As for the quasi-1D organic superconductor, a field-induced dimension crossover is responsible for the crossing of H_{c2}[34, 40]. In the case of UPt_3, a triplet SC with odd parity was proposed to explain the anisotropy inversion[41].

Apparently, the reversal in anisotropy suggests a dimension crossover (note that there are "$K_2Cr_3As_3$" atomic planes at z=0 and z=1/2 in the crystal structure[11]), like the case in $(TMTSF)_2PF_6$. However, the $H_{c2}(\theta)$ data in Fig. 2 still show modulations at the "crossover" temperature of 3.1 K. This is inconsistent with the expected angle dependence, $H_{c2}(\theta) \sim (\cos^2\theta + \epsilon^2\sin^2\theta)^{-1/2}$, which yields a constant H_{c2} for $\epsilon = 1$. Even at 1.9 K , where $H_{c2}^{\perp} > H_{c2}^{\parallel}$, small peaks at θ =0° and 180° are present. These indicate that the uniaxial anisotropy is still there, and the dimension crossover scenario can be ruled out.

As can be seen in Fig. 1c, $H_{c2}^{\parallel}(T)$ deviates from the linearity for $T < 5.5$ K. The negative curvature as well as the tendency of saturation at lower temperatures suggests a dominant paramagnetically pair-breaking mechanism for $H_{c2}^{\parallel}(T)$. In contrast, $H_{c2}^{\perp}(T)$ basically shows a linear behavior with a slightly positive curvature, or a kink, at about 5.5 K. These data clearly indicate that $H_{c2}^{\perp}(T)$ is dominantly limited by an orbital effect. Thus, the crossing of $H_{c2}^{\parallel}(T)$ and $H_{c2}^{\perp}(T)$ possibly comes from different pair-breaking mechanisms for the different external field directions.



FIG. 1. **Determination of anisotropic H_{c2} for $K_2Cr_3As_3$ crystals.** **a** and **b**, Magnetoresistance as a function of field (**H**$\|$ c and **H**$\perp c$, respectively) at fixed temperatures. **c**, Temperature dependence of the anisotropic H_{c2}, defined by the "junction" point of superconducting and normal states shown in **a** and **b**. $H^{\rm orb}_{c2,\|}$ refers to the orbitally limited upper critical field for **H**$\|$ c, which is obtained by the $H_{c2}(\theta)$ data fitting shown in Fig. 2. **d**, The anisotropic parameters $\gamma_H(T) = H^{\|}_{c2}/H^{\perp}_{c2}$, $\gamma_H(T)^{\rm orb}$=$H^{\rm orb}_{c2,\|}/H^{\perp}_{c2}$, and ϵ (see definition in the inset and text) as functions of temperature.

As the scenario in UPt_3, the crossing of $H^{\perp}_{c2}(T)$ and $H^{\|}_{c2}(T)$ can be naturally explained in terms of a spin-triplet pairing with spins locked within ab planes. In UPt_3 (see Table I in SM), the order parameter has (dominantly) odd parity with a **d** vector locked to the c axis owing to strong spin-orbit coupling. The spin of the odd-parity Cooper pairs may be $|\uparrow\downarrow> + |\downarrow\uparrow>$ with S_z=0, which is equivalent to the spin state of $|\leftleftarrows> + |\rightrightarrows>$. In this circumstance, the Zeeman energy breaks the Cooper pairs for **H**$\|c$, hence the Pauli-limiting behavior for $H^{\|}_{c2}(T)$. By contrast, the perpendicular field simply changes the population of Cooper pairs with spin directions $|\leftleftarrows>$ and $|\rightrightarrows>$, and therefore, no paramagnetic pair-breaking is expected for $H^{\perp}_{c2}(T)$. Here we note that, owing to the non-centrosymmetric crystal structure in $K_2Cr_3As_3$, the actual pairing symmetry is usually a mixture of singlet and triplet states,[25] except for the case of simple p_z wave in which a pure triplet pairing is anticipated because of the mirror-plane reflection symmetry[26]. Nevertheless, the in-plane $H_{c2}(\phi)$ modulation (see below) seems to support the dominant $f_{y(3x^2-y^2)}$-wave order parameter which originates from the 3D γ band[25].

Another outstanding feature of the out-of-plane H_{c2} measurements shown in Fig.2: approaching the T_c, the H_{c2} monotonously increases as the angle tilted from **H**$\|c$, as the G-L theory predicts. But as the temperature is decreased, two maximum H_{c2} appear at **H**$\perp c$ and **H**$\|c$. The $H_{c2}(\theta)$ show a rare nonmonotonous feature and has a 'valley' feature with the tilted angle . The angle corresponding to the 'valley' is also moving as the temperature is lowered. Obviously, two effects are competing, leading to the intriguing 'valley' feature. Below we show such two abnormal features in out-of-plane $H_{c2}(\theta)$ could be naturally explained by combining the Zeeman effect and orbit effect to the H_{c2} in the frame of spin-triplet pairing.

In addition to the anisotropy by the triplet pairing with $S_z = 0$, the effective-mass anisotropy leads to the



FIG. 2. **The out-of-plane $H_{c2}(\theta)$ at various temperatures.** The solid lines are from the data fitting using Eq. (2), the formula derived based on the assumption of triplet Cooper pairing.

anisotropy of the orbitally limited $H_{c2}^{orb}(\theta)$,

$$H_{c2}^{orb}(\theta) = \frac{H_{c2,\parallel}^{orb}}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta + \epsilon^2 \sin^2\theta}}, \quad (1)$$

where $H_{c2,\parallel}^{orb}$ denotes the presumed orbitally limited upper critical field for $\mathbf{H}\parallel c$ and, $\epsilon^2 = m_\perp/m_\parallel = (H_{c2,\parallel}^{orb}/H_{c2,\perp}^{orb})^2$ is the effective-mass ratio. Combining both the orbital and Zeeman effects, we conclude that

$$H_{c2}(\theta) \approx \frac{H_{c2,\parallel}^{orb}}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta + \epsilon^2 \sin^2\theta}} \left(1 - \beta \cos^2\theta \ln\left[1 + \left(\frac{g\mu_B H_{c2}(\theta)}{2k_B T}\right)^2\right]\right) \quad (2)$$

where β is a coefficient depending on the properties of the Fermi surface and the anisotropic pairing wave-function. It is obvious the in-plane upper critical field with $\theta = \pi/2$ is not constrained by Pauli limit. The detailed derivation of Eq. 2 can be found in the supplementary part.

Remarkably, all the experimental data of $H_{c2}(\theta)$ shown in Fig. 2 can be well fitted using Eq. 2. The data fitting yields three parameters, $H_{c2,\parallel}^{orb}$, ϵ and β. As expected, the fitted $H_{c2,\parallel}^{orb}(T)$ is basically linear, as shown in Fig. 1c. The linear extrapolation yields a zero-temperature orbitally limited $H_{c2,\parallel}^{orb}(0)$ of 515 kOe for $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$, which is reasonably larger than the extrapolated value of $H_{c2,\perp}^{orb}(0)$=372 kOe for $\mathbf{H}\perp c$ (experimentally, $H_{c2,\perp}^{orb}$=370 kOe at 0.6 K[19]). The obtained $H_{c2,\parallel}^{orb}(0)$ and $H_{c2,\perp}^{orb}(0)$ exceed the Pauli limit H_{c2}^{P} by a factor of 3.4 and 4.6, respectively. Based on our fitting results, one may estimate the expected value of $H_{c2}^{\parallel}(0)$ to be 213 kOe, which is close to the saturated $H_{c2}^{\parallel}(T)$=230 kOe reported previously[19]. It is emphasized here that the $H_{c2}^{\parallel}(0)$, about twice of the Pauli limit H_{c2}^{P}, is determined by the paramagnetic effect with significant SOC. In contrast, the $H_{c2,\perp}(0)$, 3.4 time of H_{c2}^{P}, is totally determined by the orbital effect. The absence of paramagnetic pair breaking for $\mathbf{H}\perp c$ strongly suggests spin-triplet Cooper pairing in $K_2Cr_3As_3$.

Using the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) relation, $H_{c2,\parallel}^{orb}(0) = \Phi_0/[2\pi\xi_\perp(0)^2]$ and $H_{c2,\perp}^{orb}(0) = \Phi_0/[2\pi\xi_\perp(0)\xi_\parallel(0)]$, where Φ_0 is the flux quantum, the anisotropic coherence lengths at zero temperature can be estimated to be $\xi_\perp(0)$=2.53 nm and $\xi_\parallel(0)$=3.50 nm. The $\xi_\perp(0)$ value exceeds twice of the interchain distance in $K_2Cr_3As_3$[11], indicating a uniaxial anisotropic 3D SC.

Fig. 1d plots the anisotropic parameters, $\gamma_H(T)$, $\gamma_H(T)^{orb}$ $[=H_{c2,\parallel}^{orb}(T)/H_{c2}^{\perp}(T)]$ and $\epsilon(T)$. They tend to emerge at about 5 K. $\gamma_H(T)$ shows a divergence behavior at temperatures close to T_c, implying the robustness of SC in quasi-1D scenarios. At 5.8 K, γ_H is 3.3, corresponding to a effective-mass ratio of $m_\perp/m_\parallel$ ~11, which virtually reflects the obviously uniaxial anisotropy due to the quasi-1D crystal and electronic structures. Upon cooling down, $\gamma_H(T)$ decreases rapidly and, it crosses the isotropic line of $\gamma_H(T)$=1.0 which was referred to as an "anisotropy reversal"[19]. However, $\gamma_H(T)^{orb}$ and $\epsilon(T)$ do not cross the $\gamma_H(T)$=1.0 line, which means that the apparent anisotropy reversal *disappears* after removing the anisotropic Pauli-limiting effect. As a result, $\gamma_H(T)^{orb}$ and $\epsilon(T)$ saturate at 1.4 down to lower temperatures, consistent with the 3D SC concluded above.

If the electron spins of Cooper pairs are locked along the c axis, intuitively one would not expect an in-plane H_{c2} anisotropy. To test this issue, we measured H_{c2} as a function of the azimuthal angle ϕ, as shown in Fig. 3. Here $H_{c2}(\phi)$ is defined as the peak value of the derivative of $R(H)$ at a fixed temperature. The peak values and its error bars were extracted by a Gaussian fit. This definition of $H_{c2}(\phi)$ is consistent with the former definition for out-of-plane $H_{c2}(\phi)$, which is showed in SM. The ϕ angle varied from $-1.87°$ to $153.38°$. To plot a complete polar diagram, the extracted data were rotated by $120°$ and $-120°$, respectively, according to the crystal symmetry with the point group of D_{3h}. As can be seen, the obtained $H_{c2}(\phi)$ basically shows a quasi-six-fold modulations with an amplitude of ~1.2% (3.6 kOe). The maximum of $H_{c2}(\phi)$ appears for the field directions along the crystallographic a and b axes. We exclude the possibility of surface superconductivity and the influence of anisotropic normal-state magnetoresistance (See the SM). On closer examination, the periodicity is actually threefold, coincident with the crystal structure of Cr_6 octahedra shown at the lower-right corner in Fig. 3b. Note that at a higher temperature of 4.23 K, the in-plane anisotropy magnitude decreases obviously (0.5 kOe), nevertheless, the threefold modulation is still observable with the maximum basically along the a axis.

The above $H_{c2}(\theta, \phi, T)$ behavior resembles those of the



FIG. 3. **In-plane $H_{c2}(\phi)$ at 1.572±0.001 K. a**. The derivatives of magnetoresistance $R(H)$ for different ϕ angles. The H_{c2} is defined as the peak value in dR/dH. **b**. Polar plot of the extracted $H_{c2}(\phi)$. The red (blue) triangles come from the rotation of the derived data with ϕ_r=120° (−120°), respectively. Shown at the lower-right corner is the the Cr_6-octohedron spin configuration for potential magnetic ground state from the first-principles calculations[14, 15]

unconventional superconductor UPt_3[35, 44], which was intensively studied for decades[45, 46] with accumulating evidences of spin-triplet odd-parity SC[47–49]. The anisotropy reversal in H_{c2} was explained by paramagnetic limiting for $H_{c2}^{\parallel}(T)$ if the order parameter has odd parity and there is strong spin-orbit coupling of the pair spins to the in-plane crystal axes[41]. Additionally, UPt_3 shows sixfold modulation in the in-plane $H_{c2}(\phi)$[44]. The anisotropic $H_{c2}(\phi, T)$ even reverses its sign at the tetracritical point[44]. Such a modulation can be explained in terms of a coupling to the symmetry breaking field[50]. The latter was supported by neutron diffraction study which shows an antiferromagnetism whose moments are lying within the basal plane[51].

The observed $H_{c2}(\phi)$ modulation in $K_2Cr_3As_3$ here suggests that the electron spins in Cooper pairs should be locked within the basal plane (probably along a or b axis). We also observed a similar $H_{c2}(\phi)$ modulation in another high-quality sample(See SM). Indeed, the first-principles study[14] shows that the antisymmetric spin-orbit coupling splitting is as large as ∼60 meV on the 3D Fermi-surface sheet (γ band) as well as one of the quasi-1D sheets (β band). Consequently, $K_2Cr_3As_3$ is nearby a novel in-out co-planar magnetic ground state[15], in which the magnetic moments lie in the basal plane. The magnetic-susceptibility anisotropy[19] can be understood by the antiferromagnetic correlations among the spins, which leads to a *reduced* magnetic susceptibility for field parallel to the basal plane.

The spin-singlet SC with the electron spins locked onto the c-axis direction seems not physically reasonable, because a spin-singlet Cooper pair is a rotationally invariant object and thus it should have no preferred direction. The exception is that the Ising-spins exist already in normal state, which should cause a large magnetic anisotropy at odds with the experimental results. The magnetic susceptibility for field along the Cr-chain direction is about 20% larger[19]. For a spin-triplet pairing, however, a spin-locking scenario is allowed. The in-plane spin-structure has antiferromagnetic configuration[14], shown at the lower-right corner of Fig.3, the small out-of-plane anisotropy is understandable as mentioned above. So we conclude the spin-triplet scenario is more favorable, and can easily explain all the observations.

Before closing, we comment on the relation between triplet SC and the impurity scattering effect on T_c. It is true that nonmagnetic scattering can be a source of pair breaking even at zero field for an odd-parity unconventional superconductor. However, such an effect will not be evident in the clean-limit regime, i. e. the electron mean free path l is much larger than the superconducting coherence length ξ. In $K_2Cr_3As_3$, l is estimated to be ∼74.6 nm for the electron transport along the c axis in the sample with RRR=61 (see the SM), and $\xi_{\parallel}(0)$ is only 3.5 nm, thus $l \gg \xi$ holds in most cases. This explains why the T_c keeps unchanged for samples with different RRRs. Our recent results show that the tiny impurity can dramatically affect the superconductivity[28]. Additionally, we note that an odd-parity superconducting state may even be protected against disorder by a spin-orbit locking.[52]

In conclusion, we performed detailed $H_{c2}(\theta, \phi, T)$ measurements on superconducting $K_2Cr_3As_3$ crystals. We demonstrate that the "anisotropy-reversal" phenomenon and a rare nonmonotonous feature of $H_{c2}(\theta)$ with tilted angle at low temperature are due to different pair-breaking mechanisms for different magnetic-field directions. Remarkable, the $H_{c2}(\theta)$ can be perfectly fitted by treating with spin-triplet scenario. Evidently, spin-orbit coupling plays an important role not only for determining $H_{c2}^{\parallel}(T)$ but also for locking the electron spins onto a particular direction. The large values of $H_{c2,\parallel}^{orb}(0)$ and $H_{c2,\perp}^{orb}(0)$, which far exceed the Pauli limit, and in particular, the absence of paramagnetic pair breaking for $\mathbf{H}\perp c$, concordantly indicate a dominant spin-triplet Cooper pairing in $K_2Cr_3As_3$. This verifies

the previous implications/suggestions of the most related experimental/theoretical studies[14, 15, 20–23, 25–27]. We also observed for the first time a unique three-fold modulation in $H_{c2}(\phi)$, which is consistent with the spin locking along the a axis. The above information for the spin structure of the Cooper pairs helps the final determination of the superconducting order parameter in $K_2Cr_3As_3$, which may expand the overall understanding of unconventional superconductivity. Moreover, the dominant triplet pairing may bear an intriguing topological SC, similar to the theoretical prediction in UPt_3 [53].

METHODS

The high-quality single crystals were grown by a self-flux method which was mentioned in Ref. [11]. Resistance was measured with a standard four-probe technique on a rotator under pulsed magnetic field which can reach 60 T. The four contacts were attached to 25 μm diameter gold wires by using Dupont 4929N silver paint in an Ar filled glove box. The samples were mounted on the rotator probe with a sapphire substrate. All the procedures were done in the glove box. After removing the probe from the glove box, we replaced Ar gas in the sample space with helium gas which was served as exchanging gas. The electrical current was applied along the rod direction. The rotational axis is either perpendicular or parallel to the rod. So the magnetic field direction could be adjusted *in-situ*. The angles could be extracted from the ratio of a coil attached to the back of the rotating platform to the pick-up coil for field. Note that the sample was air-sensitive and couldn't be reused. We thus employed different samples for different measurements. Nevertheless, we checked that the results were reproducible.

ACHNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank D. F. Agterberg, F. C. Zhang, Y. Zhou, C. Cao, J. H. Dai, F. Yang, X. X. Wu, R Fernandes, J. P. Hu and Kamran Behnia for helpful discussions. J. K. is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, under Award number de-sc0012336. Z. Z. was supported by the 1000 Youth Talents Plan and the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11574097). This work is supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (Grant No. 2011CBA00103) and the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11190023).

#These authors equally contributed to this work.
* zengwei.zhu@hust.edu.cn
* ghcao@zju.edu.cn

[1] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R.Schrieffer, Theory of superconductivity, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1175(1957).
[2] M. Sigrist, and Ueda, K. Phenomenological theory of unconventional superconductivity, Rev. Mod. Phys. **63**, 239 (1991).
[3] F. Steglich, J. Aarts, C. D. Bredl, W. Lieke, D. Meschede, W. Franz, and H. Schäfer, Superconductivity in the Presence of Strong Pauli Paramagnetism: $CeCu_2Si_2$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 1892 (1979).
[4] D. Jérome, A. Mazaud, M. Ribault, and K. Bechgaard, Superconductivity in a synthetic organic conductor $(TMTSF)_2PF_6$, J. Phys. Lett. **41**, L95 (1980).
[5] G. R. Stewart, Z. Fisk, J. O. Willis, and J. L. Smith, Possibility of Coexistence of Bulk Superconductivity and Spin Fluctuations in UPt_3, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**,679 (1984).
[6] J. G. Bednorz, and K. A. Muller, Possible high T_c superconductivity in the Ba-La-Cu-O system, Z. Phys. B **64**, 189 (1986).
[7] Y. Maeno, H. Hashimoto, K. Yoshida, S. Nishizaki, T. Fujita, J. G. Bednorz, and F. Lichtenberget, Superconductivity in a layered perovskite without copper, Nature **372**, 532 (1994).
[8] S. S. Saxena, P. Agarwal, K. Ahilan, et. al. Superconductivity on the border of itinerant-electron ferromagnetism in UGe_2, Nature (London) **406**, 587 (2000).
[9] Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, Iron-Based Layered Superconductor $La[O_{1-x}F_x]FeAs$ (x = 0.05-0.12) with T_c = 26 K, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **130**, 3296 (2008).
[10] M. R. Norman, The Challenge of Unconventional Superconductivity, Science **332**, 196 (2011).
[11] J. K. Bao, J. Y. Liu, C. W. Ma, Z. H. Meng, Z. T. Tang, Y. L. Sun, H. F. Zhai, H. Jiang, H. Bai, C. M. Feng, Z. A. Xu, and G. H. Cao, Superconductivity in Quasi-One-Dimensional $K_2Cr_3As_3$ with Significant Electron Correlations, Phys. Rev. X **5**, 011013 (2015).
[12] Z. T. Tang, J. K. Bao, L. Liu, Y. L. Sun, A. Ablimit, H. F. Zhai, H. Jiang, C. M. Feng, Z. A. Xu, and G. H. Cao, Unconventional superconductivity in quasi-one-dimensional $Rb_2Cr_3As_3$, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 020506(R) (2015).
[13] Z. T. Tang, J. K. Bao, Z. Wang, H. Bai, H. Jiang, Y. Liu, H. F. Zhai, C. M. Feng, Z. A. Xu, and G. H. Cao, Superconductivity in quasi-one-dimensional $Cs_2Cr_3As_3$ with large interchain distance, Sci. China Mater. **58**, 16 (2015).
[14] H. Jiang, G. H. Cao, and C. Cao, Electronic structure of quasi-one-dimensional superconductor $K_2Cr_3As_3$ from first-principles calculations, Sci. Rep. **5**, 16054 (2015).
[15] X. X. Wu, C. C. Le, J. Yuan, H. Fan, and J. P. Hu, Magnetism in Quasi-One-Dimensional $A_2Cr_3As_3$ (A = K, Rb) Superconductors, Chin. Phys. Lett. **32**, 057401 (2015).
[16] A. M. Clogston, Upper limit for the critical field in hard superconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. **9**, 266 (1962).
[17] B. S. Chandrasekhar, A note on the maximum critical field of high-field superconductors, Appl. Phys. Lett. **1**,

7 (1962).
[18] T. Kong, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, Anisotropic H_{c2}, thermodynamic and transport measurements, and pressure dependence of T_c in $K_2Cr_3As_3$ single crystals, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 020507 (2015).
[19] F. F. Balakirev, T. Kong, M. Jaime, R. D. McDonald, C. H. Mielke, A. Gurevich, et al. Anisotropy reversal of the upper critical field at low temperatures and spin-locked superconductivity in $K_2Cr_3As_3$, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 220505 (2015).
[20] H. Z. Zhi, T. Imai, F. L. Ning, J. K. Bao, and G. H. Cao, NMR Investigation of the Quasi-One-Dimensional Superconductor $K_2Cr_3As_3$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 147004 (2015).
[21] J. Yang, Z. T. Tang, G. H. Cao, and G. Q. Zheng, Ferromagnetic Spin Fluctuation and Unconventional Superconductivity in $Rb_2Cr_3As_3$ Revealed by ^{75}As NMR and NQR, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 147002 (2015).
[22] D. T. Adroja, A. Bhattacharyya, M. Telling, Y. Feng, M. Smidman, B. Pan, J. Zhao, A. D.Hillier, F. L. Pratt, and A. M. Strydom, Superconducting ground state of quasi-one-dimensional $K_2Cr_3As_3$ investigated using μSR measurements, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 134505 (2015).
[23] G. M. Pang, M. Smidman, W. B. Jiang, J. K. Bao, Z. F. Weng, Y. F. Wang, et al. Evidence for nodal superconductivity in quasi-one-dimensional $K_2Cr_3As_3$, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 220502 (2015).
[24] P. Alemany, and E. Canadell, Links between the Crystal and Electronic Structure in the New Family of Unconventional Superconductors $A_2Cr_3As_3$ (A = K, Rb, Cs), Inorg. Chem. **54**, 8029 (2015).
[25] Y. Zhou, C. Cao and F. C. Zhang, Theory for superconductivity in alkali chromium arsenides $A_2Cr_3As_3$ (A = K, Rb, Cs), http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.03928.
[26] X. X. Wu, F. Yang, C. C. Le, H. Fan, and J. P. Hu, Triplet p_z-wave pairing in quasi-one-dimensional $A_2Cr_3As_3$ superconductors (A = K, Rb, Cs), Phys. Rev. B **92**, 104511 (2015).
[27] H. T. Zhong, X. Y. Feng, H. Chen, and J. H. Dai, Formation of Molecular-Orbital Bands in a Twisted Hubbard Tube: Implications for Unconventional Superconductivity in $K_2Cr_3As_3$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 227001 (2015).
[28] Yi Liu, Jin-Ke Bao, Hao-Kun Zuo, Abduweli Ablimit, Zhang-Tu Tang, Chun-Mu Feng, Zeng-Wei Zhu, Guang-Han Cao, Effect of impurity scattering on superconductivity in $K_2Cr_3As_3$, Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. **59**, 657402 (2016).
[29] A. Subedi, Strong-coupling electron-phonon superconductivity in noncentrosymmetric quasi-one-dimensional $K_2Cr_3As_3$, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 174501 (2015).
[30] K. H. Bennemann, and J. B. Ketterson, (Eds.). (2011). The Physics of Superconductors: Vol II: Superconductivity in Nanostructures, High-Tc and Novel Superconductors, Organic Superconductors (Vol. 2). Springer Science & Business Media.
[31] K. Machida, T. Ohmi, and M.-a. Ozaki, Anisotropy of Upper Critical Fields for d- and p-Wave Pairing Superconductivity, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **54**, 1552 (1985).
[32] X. F. Wang, C. Roncaioli, C. Eckberg, H. Kim, J. Yong, Y. Nakajima, S. R. Saha, P. Y. Zavalij, and J. Paglione, Tunable electronic anisotropy in single-crystal $A_2Cr_3As_3$ (A = K, Rb) quasi-one-dimensional superconductors, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 220502 (2015).
[33] J. K. Bao, L. Li, Z. T. Tang, Y. Liu, Y. K. Li, H. Bai, C. M. Feng, Z. A. Xu, and G. H. Cao, Cluster spin-glass ground state in quasi-one-dimensional KCr_3As_3, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 180404 (2015).
[34] I. J. Lee, M. J. Naughton, G. M. Danner, and P. M. Chaikin, Anisotropy of the Upper Critical Field in $(TMTSF)_2PF_6$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3555 (1997).
[35] B. S. Shivaram, T. F. Rosenbaum, and D. G. Hinks, Unusual Angular and Temperature Dependence of the Upper Critical Field in UPt_3, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 1259 (1986).
[36] M. Fang, J. Yang, F. F. Balakirev, Y. Kohama, J. Singleton, B. Qian, Z. Q. Mao, H. Wang, and H. Q. Yuan, Weak anisotropy of the superconducting upper critical field in $Fe_{1.11}Te_{0.6}Se_{0.4}$ single crystals, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 020509 (2010).
[37] S. Khim, J. W. Kim, E. S. Choi, Y. Bang, M. Nohara, H. Takagi, and K. H. Kim, Evidence for dominant Pauli paramagnetic effect in the upper critical field of single-crystalline $FeTe_{0.6}Se_{0.4}$, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 184511 (2010).
[38] B. Maiorov, P. Mele, S. A. Baily, M. Weigand, S.-Z. Lin, et al. Inversion of the upper critical field anisotropy in FeTeS films, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **27**, 044005 (2014).
[39] D. A. Zocco, K. Grube, F. Eilers, T. Wolf, and H. v. & Löhneysen, Pauli-Limited Multiband Superconductivity in KFe_2As_2, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 057007 (2013).
[40] W. Zhang, and C. A. R.& Sá De Melo, Triplet versus singlet superconductivity in quasi-one-dimensional conductors, Adv. Phys. **56**, 545 (2007).
[41] C. H. Choi, and J. A. Sauls, Identification of odd-parity superconductivity in UPt_3 from paramagnetic effects on the upper critical field, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 484 (1991).
[42] N. R. Werthame, E. Helfand, and P. C. Hohenber, Temperature and Purity Dependence of the Superconducting Critical Field, H_{c2}. III. Electron Spin and Spin-Orbit Effects, Phys. Rev. **147**, 295 (1966).
[43] R. R. Hake, Upper-critical field limits for bulk type-II superconductors, Appl. Phys. Lett. **10**, 186 (1967).
[44] N. Keller, J. L. Tholence, A. Huxley, and J. Flouquet, Angular Dependence of the Upper Critical Field of the Heavy Fermion Superconductor UPt_3, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 2364 (1994).
[45] J. A. Sauls, The Order Parameter for the Superconducting Phases of UPt_3, Adv. Phys. **43**, 113 (1994).
[46] R. Joynt and L. Taillefer, The superconducting phases of UPt_3, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 235(2002).
[47] Y. Machida, A. Itoh, Y. So, K. Izawa, Y. Haga, E. Yamamoto, N. Kimura, Y. Onuki, Y. Tsutsumi, and K. Machida, Twofold Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking in the Heavy-Fermion Superconductor UPt_3, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 157002 (2012).
[48] J. D. Strand, D. J. Bahr, D. J. Van Harlingen, J. P. Davis, W. J. Gannon, and W. P. Halperin, The transition between real and complex superconducting order parameter phases in UPt_3, Science **328**, 1368 (2010).
[49] E. R. Schemm, W. J. Gannon, C. M. Wishne, W. P. Halperin, and A. Kapitulnik, Observation of broken time-reversal symmetry in the heavy-fermion superconductor UPt_3, Science **345**, 190 (2014).
[50] D. F. Agterberg, and M. B. Walker, Theory for the Angular Dependence of the Upper Critical Field of Superconducting UPt_3, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3904 (1995).
[51] G. Aeppli, E. Bucher, C. Broholm, J. K. Kjems,

J. Baumann, and J. Hufnagl, Magnetic Order and Fluctuations in Superconducting UPt_3, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 615 (1988).

[52] K. Michaeli, and L. Fu, Spin-Orbit Locking as a Protection Mechanism of the Odd-Parity Superconducting State against Disorder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 187003 (2012).

[53] Y. Tsutsumi, M. Ishikawa, T. Kawakami, T. Mizushima, M. Sato, M. Ichioka, K. Machida, UPt_3 as a Topological Crystalline Superconductor, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **82**, 113707 (2013).